

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSENDER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/702,184	11/05/2003	Ina Minei	Juniper-26 (JNP-0325)	7526
26479 7590 04/20/2010 STRAUB & POKOTYLO 788 Shrewsbury Avenue			EXAMINER	
			BAROT, BHARAT	
TINTON FAL	LS, NJ 07724		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2455	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/20/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

Ex parte INA MINEI, NISCHAL SHETH, PEDRO R. MARQUES, and YAKOV REKHTER

Appeal 2009-013380 Application 10/702,184 Technology Center 2400

Mailed: April 19, 2010

Before DALE M. SHAW, Chief Appeals Administrator

ORDER REMANDING APPEAL TO EXAMINER

This application was electronically received at the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences on July 27, 2009. A Docketing Notice was mailed and Appeal No 2009-013380 was assigned on July 28, 2009. A review of the application has revealed that the application was not ready for an appeal. Accordingly, the application is herewith being remanded to the Examiner. The matter requiring attention is identified below.

Appeal 2009-013380 Application 10/702,184

Claims 25, 42 and 43 of the instant application contain functional language that may be indefinite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph. *See Ex Parte Rodriquez*, 2009 WL 3756279 (BPAI 2009) and *Aristocrat Techs*. *Austl. Pty. Ltd. v. It'l. Game Tech.*, 521 F.3d 1328 (Fed Cir. 2008). On September 2, 2008 the Deputy Commissioner for Patent Examination Policy, John J. Love, issued a memorandum entitled "Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, when examining means (or step) plus function claim limitations under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph", located at: http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/dapp/opla/preognotice/section_112_6 th_09_02_2008.pdf . Thus, there is a question as to whether claims 25, 42 and 43 and the claims which depend upon these claims, meet the requirements of being definite under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph.

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that the application is remanded to the Examiner to determine if claims 25, 42 and 43 and the claims which depend upon these claims, meet the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd paragraph.

If there are any questions pertaining to this order, please contact the Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences at 571-272-9797.

gvw

STRAUB & POKOTYLO 788 SHREWSBURY AVENUE TINTON FALLS, NJ 07724